

MAR 30 2007

Application Serial No. 10/813,538
Reply to office action of January 10, 2007

PATENT
Docket: CU-3660

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


In re Application: Daisaku Haoto et al] GRP ART UNIT: 1775
Serial No: 10/813,538] Ex.: SPEER, TIMOTHY M
Filed: March 30, 2004]
For: PROTECTIVE COAT AND METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING THEREOF

Certification under 37 C.F.R. §1.8(b)

The USPTO Central Fax No. (571) 273-8300

Date of Fax Transmittal: **March 30, 2007**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office to the fax number and on the date indicated above.


Loren K. Thompson, Ph.D.**RESPONSE****Mail Stop Amendment**

The Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the office action dated January 10, 2007, setting a 1-month shortened statutory period for a reply ending on February 10, 2007, along with the concurrently submitted two-month extension request to extend the period for a reply to April 10, 2007, the Applicant submits the following response in the above-identified application. This response is considered to place the application in better condition for allowance.

Application Serial No. 10/813,538
Reply to office action of January 10, 2007

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAR 30 2007

PATENT
Docket: CU-3660


The Commissioner is authorized to charge any requisite fee (or credit any overpayment) to Deposit Account No. 12-0400 required with filing this amendment including the fee (\$450 - large entity status) for extending this statutory response period by two additional months.

Attached are three affidavits under 37 CFR §1.132 from Daisaku Haoto, Kenji Tanaka, and Miroru Komada in which each asserts that the invention claimed in the present Application No. 10/813,538 was disclosed, but not claimed, in Application No. 10/755,931 was derived by Daisaku Haoto and by Kenji Tanaka, and not by any other person.

Accordingly, the applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections and earnestly solicit an indication of allowable subject matter. This response is considered to be responsive to all points raised in the office action. Should the examiner have any remaining questions or concerns, the examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone to expeditiously resolve such concerns.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 30, 2007



Loren K. Thompson, Ph.D., Reg. No. 45,918
Ladas & Parry LLP
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300